

Docket No.: 215204US0X

PECEIVED

152003

700

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORMAN F. OBLON

(703) 413-3000 NOBLON@OBLON.COM VINCENT K. SHIER. PH.D.

REGISTERED PATENT AGENT

(703) 413-3000 VSHIER@OBLON.COM

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/982,782

Applicants: Hiroyuki ISHIKAWA et al.

Filing Date: OCTOBER 22, 2001

For: LAMINATE WITH A PEELABLE TOP LAYER AND METHOD

OF PEELING OFF THE TOP LAYER FROM THE LAMINATE

Group Art Unit: 1772 Examiner: EGAN

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Response to Restriction Requirement

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 24,618

Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D. Registration No. 50,552

22850



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Hiroyuki ISHIKAWA, et al.

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1772

SERIAL NO.: 09/982,782

FILED: OCTOBER 22, 2001

: EXAMINER: EGAN

FOR: LAMINATE WITH A PEEL BLE TOP LAYER AND METHOD OF PEELING

OFF THE TOP LAYER FROM THE LAMINATE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the Official Action dated December 13, 2002, Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-11, for further prosecution.

REMARKS

The Office has required restriction in the present application as follows:

Group I:

Claims 1-11, drawn to a laminate comprising a peelable top layer; and

Group II:

Claims 12-15, drawn to a method of peeling off a peelable top layer.

Applicants elect, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-11, for further prosecution.

Applicants traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that the claim of Group II directly depends from the claims of Group I, and as such these groups can not be separated.

In regard to Groups I and II, the Office has characterized the relationship between these two groups as product and process of use. Citing MPEP §806.05(h), the Office

TO TOO H